- had relapsed following tamoxifen. *Proc ASCO* 1997, **16**, 155a, (Abstract 542).
- 9. Jpistp, SK. 'Rivizor' versus aminoglutethimide (AG) in the second-line endocrine treatment of postmenopausal patients with advanced breast cancer (ABC) following tamoxifen failure. *Breast* 1997, **6**, 244, (Abstracts 0–72).
- Dixon MJ, Renshaw L, Bellamy C, et al. 'Arimidex' as neoadjuvant therapy causes large reductions in tumour volume in postmenopausal women with large operable breast cancers. Proc ASCO 1999, 18 (Abstract 345).
- Buzdar A, Nabholtz JM, Robertson JF, et al. Anastrozole (Arimidex) versus tamoxifen as first-line therapy for advanced breast cancer (Abc) in postmenopausal (Pm) women combined ana-

- lysis from two identically designed multicenter trials. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* **19**, 154a (Abstract 609D).
- 12. Bonneterre J, Thürlimann BJK, Robertson JFR, On behalf of the 'Arimidex' Study Group; Centre Oscar Lambret, France; St Gallen, Switzerland; Nottingham City Hospital, UK. Preliminary results of a large comparitive multi-centre clinical trial comparing the efficacy and tolerability of ArimidexTM (Anastrozole) and tamoxifen (tamoxifen) in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer (ABC). Eur J Cancer 1999, 35(Suppl. 4), S313.
- Houghton J, Baum M. Arimidex, tamoxifen alone or in combination (ATAC) adjuvant trial in postmenopausal breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1998, 34(Suppl. 5), S83.

Randomised study of anastrozole versus tamoxifen as first-line therapy for advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women

I. Vergote ^{a,*}, J. Bonneterre ^b, B. Thürlimann ^c, J. Robertson ^d, M. Krzakowski ^e, L. Mauriac ^f, L. Koralewski ^g, A. Webster ^h, M. Steinberg ⁱ, M. von Euler ^h on behalf of the Arimidex Study Group

^aDepartment Gynaecological Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, Herestraat 49, B3000, Leuven, Belgium

^bCentre Oscar Lambret, Lille, France

^cMedizinische Klinik C Kantonsspital, St Gallen, Switzerland

^dCity Hospital, Nottingham, UK

^cMaria Sklodowska-Curie Memorial Centre of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland

^fInstitut Bérgonié, Bordeaux, France

^gRydygier Memorial Hospital, Cracow, Poland

^hAstraZeneca, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, UK

ⁱAstraZeneca, Wilmington, DE, USA

Abstract

A total of 668 patients (340 anastrozole and 328 tamoxifen) were randomised in a double-blind, double-dummy multicentre study. Anastrozole was given in a dose of 1 mg once daily and compared with tamoxifen 20 mg daily in postmenopausal patients with tumours that were hormone-receptor positive or of unknown receptor status. The efficacy and tolerability of anastrozole was compared with that of tamoxifen as first-line therapy for advanced breast cancer. The median time to progression was similar for both treatments (8.2 months in anastrozole patients and 8.3 months in tamoxifen patients). Anastrozole was also as effective as tamoxifen in terms of objective response-rate with 33% in the anastrozole group and 32.6% in the tamoxifen group achieving a complete or partial response. Both treatments were well tolerated. However, incidences of thromboembolic events and vaginal bleeding were reported in fewer patients treated with anastrozole than with tamoxifen. In conclusion, these findings indicate that anastrozole can be considered as first-line therapy for postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Breast neoplasms; Aromatase-inhibitors; Anastrozole; Tamoxifen

Since the late 1970's tamoxifen has been accepted as the 'gold' standard first-line treatment for advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal patients. Tamoxifen

E-mail address: ignace.vergote@uz.kuleuven.ac.be (I. Vergote).

acts by blocking the binding of the oestrogen receptor (ER) and has an overall response rate of 30–35% when used as first-line therapy for advanced breast cancer [1]. Adverse effects that have been associated with tamoxifen can be classified as either due to its anti-oestrogenic actions (e.g. hot flushes, vaginal bleeding, discharge or dryness), or more general effects (e.g. nausea, vomiting,

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +32-16-344-635; fax: +32-16-344-629

tumour flare and skin rash). In adjuvant studies involving patients who took either placebo or tamoxifen, thromboembolic events were rare overall, but were more common with tamoxifen than with placebo [2]. A 2–3-fold increase in the risk of developing endometrial cancer has been documented in association with longterm tamoxifen use [3,4]. The new generation non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor anastrazole is both potent and selective and can be given orally. A combined analysis of two large randomised trials in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer who had failed on tamoxifen therapy has shown that 1 mg anastrozole significantly increases survival time compared with megoestrol acetate at a mean follow-up of 31 months [5]. Here we present the results of a trial comparing the efficacy and tolerability of anastrozole and tamoxifen in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer.

1. Results

A randomised, double blind, double-dummy was designed to demonstrate equivalent efficacy of anastrozole 1 mg once daily relative to tamoxifen 20 mg once daily in patients with tumours that were hormone receptor-positive or of unknown receptor status, and who were eligible for endocrine therapy. The primary endpoints of the study were time to progression (TTP) and objective response (OR) and tolerability. A total of 668 patients (340 anastrozole and 328 tamoxifen) were randomised to treatment and followed for a median of 19 months. The median TTP was similar for both treatments (8.2 months in anastrozole and 8.3 months in tamoxifen patients). The tamoxifen-anastrozole hazard ratio was 0.99 demonstrating that the anastrozole was at least equivalent to tamoxifen. Anastrozole was also as effective as tamoxifen in terms of OR, with 33% of the anastrozole-group and 32.6% of the tamoxifengroup achieving a complete or partial response. Clinical benefit (CR + PR + stabilisation of ≥ 24 weeks) rates of 56.2% and 55.5% were observed for anastrozole and tamoxifen respectively. No statistical analysis of subgroups according to receptor known- or unknown disease was performed for this trial since the larger combined dataset from this trial and the North American trial provides the most appropriate setting for statistical exploration of subgroups. However, in the receptor positive subgroup there was a separation of the curves, suggesting a benefit in favour of anastrozole. Both treatments were well tolerated. The incidence-rates of depression, tumour flare, gastrointestinal disorders, hot flushes, vaginal dryness and weight gain were similar in both treatment arms. However, incidences of thromboembolic events and vaginal bleeding were reported in fewer patients treated with anastrazole than with tamoxifen (4.8 versus 7.3% thromboembolic events and 1.2 versus 2.4% vaginal bleeding), respectively.

In conclusion, anastrozole satisfied the pre-defined criteria for equivalence to tamoxifen. Together with the lower observed incidence of thromboembolic events and vaginal bleeding, these findings indicate that anastrozole can be considered as first-line therapy for postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer.

References

- 1. Rose C, Mouridsen HT. Endocrine therapy of advanced breast cancer. Acta Oncologica 1988, 27, 721-728.
- 2. Rutqvist LE. Cardiac and thromboembolic morbidity among postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer in a randomized trial of adjuvant tamoxifen. The Stockholm Breast Cancer Study Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993, 85, 1398-1409.
- 3. Fisher B, Conatantino JP, Wickerham DL. Tamoxifen for prevention of breast cancer: report of the national surgical adjuvant breast and bowel project P-1 study. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998, 90, 1371-1388.
- 4. Early Breast Cancer Trialists Collaborative Group. Tamoxifen for early breast cancer: an overview of the randomised trials. Lancet 1998, 351, 1451-1467.
- 5. Buzdar AU, Jonat WHA, et al. Anastrozole versus megoestrol acetate in the treatment of postmenopausal women with advanced breast carcinoma: results of a survival update based on a combined analysis of data from two mature phase III trials. Cancer 1998, 83, 1142-1152.